Diabetic patients with COVID-19 infection are at higher risk of ICU admission and poor short-term outcome
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ABSTRACT


Objectives: To assess the risk of ICU admission and morality risk in diabetic COVID-19 patients.

Study design: A database search was conducted to identify studies comparing diabetic COVID-19 patients hospitalized in intensive care unit (ICU) and those reporting the overall mortality of these patients published up to March 25, 2020 within MEDLINE, Scopus and Web of Science.

Prefered Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed in abstracting data and assessing validity. Quality assessment was performed using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale. The main outcome was the risk of ICU admission in diabetic patients with COVID-19 infection while the second was the mortality risk in overall diabetic COVID-19 patients. Data were pooled using the Mantel-Haenszel random effects models with odds ratio (OR) as the effect measure with the related 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical heterogeneity between groups was measured using the Higgins I² statistic.

Results: Among 1382 patients (mean age 51.5 years, 798 males), DM resulted to be the second more frequent comorbidities. Diabetic patients resulted to have a significant increased risk of ICU admission (OR: 2.79, 95% CI 1.85–4.22, p < 0.0001, I² = 46%). In 471 patients (mean age 56.6 years, 294 males) analysed for the secondary outcome diabetic subjects resulted to be at higher mortality risk (OR 3.21, 95% CI 1.82–5.64, p < 0.0001, I² = 16%).

Conclusions: Diabetic patients with COVID-19 patients are at higher risk of ICU admission and show an higher mortality risk.

1. Introduction

The coronavirus 2019 disease (COVID-19) currently represents a serious public health issue worldwide. As well known, diabetic patients are at higher risk of infection especially during period of poor glycaemic controls [1]. Recent investigations have reported that in COVID-19 infection, diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most common comorbidities [2,3]. Moreover, some studies have suggested that these subjects seem to have a higher mortality risk during the infection [4–6]. Unfortunately, data regarding the prognostic impact of diabetes in COVID-19 patients are scant. Aim of the present manuscript is to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis in the effort to assess the risk of ICU admission and the mortality risk in diabetic patients with COVID-19 infection.

1.1. Study design

This study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) reporting guideline (Supplementary file 1) [7].

1.2. Eligibility criteria

Data were obtained searching in MEDLINE, Scopus and Web of Science all investigations in English language published any time to March 23, 2020, reporting data of COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU.
or not and comparing the clinical feature between survivors and not survivors.

The risk for diabetic subjects to be admitted in ICU was chosen as the primary outcome. Conversely, the mortality risk of diabetic COVID-19 patients was selected as the secondary outcome.

1.3. Search strategy

The selection of studies to be included in our analysis was independently conducted by 2 authors (LR and GR) in a blinded fashion. Any discrepancies in study selection was resolved consulting a third author (MZ). The following MeSH terms were used for the search: “COVID-19” AND “Mortality” OR “ICU” OR “Diabetes mellitus”. Moreover, we searched the bibliographies of target studies for additional references. Case reports review articles, abstracts, editorials/letters, and case series with less than 10 participants were excluded.

Data extraction was independently conducted by 2 of us (GR and MZ). Studies were excluded from the meta-analysis if they did not have present a comparison between patients hospitalized in ICU or not, did not report a comparison between survivors or not survivors, did not present data regarding diabetic patients or if they were not written in English language. For each study we extracted the number of patients, mean age, male gender, prevalence of DM, arterial hypertension (HT) and cardiovascular disease (CVD), the number of diabetic subjects admitted or not to the ICU as well as the number of diabetic survivors or not.

1.4. Risk of bias assessment

The quality of included studies was graded using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS) [8]. In this regard, investigations were classified as having low (< 5 stars), moderate (5–7 stars) and high quality (> 7 stars).

1.5. Data synthesis and analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean while categorical variables, were presented as proportions. Data were pooled using the Mantel-Haenszel random effects models with odds ratio (OR) as the effect measure with the related 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical heterogeneity between groups was measured using the Higgins I² statistic. Specifically, a I² = 0 indicated no heterogeneity while we considered low, moderate, and high degrees of heterogeneity based on the values of I² as < 25%, 25–75% and above 75% respectively. To evaluate the publication bias concerning for both outcomes, Egger’s test further performed to assess the asymmetry of the funnel plot. Analyses were carried out using Review Manager 5.2 (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, England).

2. Results

2.1. Study selection and characteristics

The initial search identified 135 potentially relevant citations (Fig. 1). After screening titles and abstracts, 29 articles remained for full-text assessment while 21 were subsequently excluded. Eight studies (summarized in Table 1 and Table 2) met the meta-analysis inclusion criteria. Specifically, 4 for the primary [9–12] and four for the secondary study outcome [13–16], respectively, were included. Of these, seven to be of high quality while one of moderate quality according to the NOS.

2.2. Risk of ICU admission

Regarding the risk of ICU admission for diabetic patients with COVID-19 infection, the general characteristics of the study reviewed are showed in Table 1. Among 1382 patients (mean age 51.5 years, 798 males), DM resulted to be the second more frequent comorbidities. Diabetic patients resulted to have a significant increased risk of ICU admission (OR: 2.79, 95% CI 1.85–4.22, p < 0.0001, I² = 46%), as showed in Fig. 2.

2.3. Mortality risk

Table 2 represent the general characteristics of patients considered for the secondary outcome of the study. Specifically, 4 investigations fulfilled in the inclusion criteria presenting the survival for 471 patients (mean age 56.6 years, 294 males). Again, DM represented the second more frequent comorbidities after arterial hypertension (HT). Diabetic patients resulted to be at higher mortality risk (OR 3.21, 95% CI 1.82–5.64, p < 0.0001, I² = 16%) as demonstrated by the forest plot in Fig. 3.

2.4. Publication Bias

The Egger’s regression test for the primary (p = 0.303) and for the secondary (p = 0.451) outcome, respectively, confirmed that there were no statistically evidence of publication bias.

3. Discussion

Our brief meta-analysis demonstrated that diabetic patients with COVID-19 infection have an higher risk to be admitted to ICU during the infection. Moreover, DM increased the risk of mortality during the infection. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis considering the DM in COVID-19 infection. Surely, DM is generally associated with a poor prognosis in infective disease [17–20], but a direct demonstration of this aspect in COVID-19 patients, until now, has been only reported in isolated reports [13–16] and never analysed comprehensively. However, whether DM increased the risk of infection due to COVID-SARS-19 remains an unresolved question to date and will require further clarification by specific studies. However, current data seems to indicate that diabetic patients, as would be expected, have an higher risk of complications, as demonstrated by the ore frequent admission to ICU [9–12]. This aspect results confirmed by our preliminary results indicating an increased risk to be admitted to ICU and the poorer outcome of these subgroup of patients. However, published data did not allow us to examine the type of DM, the length of the disease, presence of related complications, type of treatment and glycaemic controls during the infection or in the previous month. However the lack of data in this phase of the pandemic is understandable since in many regions physicians are doing a “frontline” medicine, where the time to collect records is very limited. Recently, some investigations have focused their attention to the risk of infection in diabetic subjects [20,21]. Despite the prevention of the infection remains the primary concern, also the comprehension of the course of the disease has a pivotal role, especially in different subset of patients, as the diabetics. Indeed, if the most critical issues related to the deterioration of the disease will be identify, a potential vulnerability score could be created in order to understand who of these patients will benefit from a more aggressive treatment from the beginning, to limit the complications rate. In this regard, DM resulted the most common comorbidities in most of cohorts presenting data of COVID-19 patients, generally after HT [22,23]. Whether this aspect could be related with baseline characteristics of the population or represents a real “risk factor” will require further investigations in different areas of the world. In the meanwhile, due the absence of a specific viral treatment, diabetic patients should be considered to be at higher risk of poor prognosis and/or need for intensive support. These aspects could be helpful to orient the current clinical approach to COVID-19 patients.
3.1. Limitations

Our study has several limitations related to the observational nature of the studied reviewed with all inherited biases. Secondly, very few investigations on the COVID-19 infection have stratified the cohort into survivors and non survivors as well as in ICU and no-ICU patients, limiting the number of the studies included into the meta-analysis and the related number of patients. Moreover, the inability to compare the outcome in diabetic patients on the basis of different treatment strategy adopted represent an obvious limitation.

4. Conclusions

Our analysis suggests that diabetic patients with COVID-19 infection have an higher risk to be admitted to the ICU and show an higher mortality risk during the disease.
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Table 1

General characteristics of studies considered for the first study outcome (i.e. risk of ICU admission for diabetic patients). DM: Diabetes mellitus; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; HT: Arterial Hypertension; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale. *Patients defined as “Severe”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>N’ of patients</th>
<th>Mean Age (years)</th>
<th>Males N, (%)</th>
<th>DM N (%)</th>
<th>CVD N (%)</th>
<th>HT N (%)</th>
<th>NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cao et al. [9]</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53 (52)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>28 (28)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. [10]</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30 (73)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. [11]</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75 (54)</td>
<td>14 (10)</td>
<td>20 (14.5)</td>
<td>43 (31)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guan et al. [12] *</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>640 (58)</td>
<td>81 (7.4)</td>
<td>27 (2.5)</td>
<td>165 (15)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
General characteristics of studies considered for the second study outcome (i.e. Risk of mortality in diabetic patients). DM: Diabetes mellitus; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; HT: Arterial Hypertension; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>N of patients</th>
<th>Mean Age (years)</th>
<th>Males N (%)</th>
<th>DM N (%)</th>
<th>CVD N (%)</th>
<th>HT N (%)</th>
<th>NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. [13]</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>128 (64)</td>
<td>22 (11)</td>
<td>8 (4.0)</td>
<td>39 (19.4)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. [14]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>35 (67)</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuan et al. [15]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12 (45)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Forest plot of studies investigating ICU admission in relation to diabetes mellitus.

Fig. 3. Forest plot of studies investigating survival in relation to diabetes mellitus.
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